

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,697	10/16/2003	Paul A. Kohl	62020-1550	7668
24504 75	590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KA	AYDEN, HORSTEME	LEE, SIN J		
100 GALLERIA	A PARKWAY, NW			
STE 1750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, G	A 30339-5948		1752	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				10			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/686,697	KOHL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sin J. Lee	1752				
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply</li> </ul>	nears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -	-			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUI 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) M , cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	ecember 2005.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-12,18 and 19</u> is/are rejected.		·				
7)⊠	Claim(s) 9,13-17 and 20-22 is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
-	The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/are:		objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	≥.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have be	en received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachmen		<b>,,</b> □					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/686,697

Art Unit: 1752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 10-12, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shick et al (6,121,340).

Shick teaches a negative tone photodefinable dielectric composition comprising a polycyclic addition polymer containing recurring silyl pendant groups along the poly backbone (which is prepared by polymerizing a silyl substituted polycyclic monomer such as *norbornene*) and a photosensitive crosslinking initiator (see col.9, lines 65-67, col.10, lines 1-2, lines 16-40). In col.65, lines 19-46, Shick teaches forming a negative tone image by applying his photodefinable composition (which contains his polymer and

Art Unit: 1752

an onium salt photoinitiator (*present photoacid generator*)) to a substrate, exposing the obtained film to UV radiation through a mask, developing the exposed film to remove the unexposed portions and to give negative tone images. Therefore, Shick teaches present inventions of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 10-12, 18 and 19. Shick also teaches present invention of claim 3 because present specification (pg.8) states that present positive tone photoinitiator includes a photoacid generator.

Page 3

With respect to present claim 6, Shick teaches that his polymers can be terminated with an olefinic end group (see col.15, lines 58-67, col.16, lines 1-20). Thus, the prior art teaches present invention of claim 6.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8,10-12, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobine et al (5,167,882).

Jacobine teaches (see abstract) a method of stereolithography for building a three-dimensional article using a liquid resin composition, which comprises (a) a first compound having a *plurality* of norbornene groups thereon, (b) a second compound having a plurality of thiol groups therein, and (c) a free radical photoinitiator. As particularly preferred norbornene compounds, Jacobine mentions norbornene carboxylate esters of *polyols* such as 1,6-hexanediol, trimethylolpropane, ethoxylated bisphenol A (col.2, lines 59-62). As examples for the photoinitiator, Jacobine includes benzoin compounds (see col.3, lines 27-35). Jacobine builds a three-dimensional article from his liquid resin composition by *patternwise curing* successive layers of a bath of the curable liquid resin composition until the article has been completely built up.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,697 Page 4

Art Unit: 1752

Therefore, Jacobine teaches present inventions of claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8,10-12, 18 and 19.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 9, 13-17, and 20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Neither Shick nor Jacobine teaches or suggests present photoinitiators of claim 9. Neither Shick nor Jacobine teaches or suggests present step of removing exposed portions of the photodefinable polymer composition as presently recited in claim 13. Neither Shick nor Jacobine teaches or suggests present method of claim 14.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on December 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants seem to be arguing that present 102(b) rejections over Shick et al'340 and Jacobine'882 should be withdrawn because neither of references spells out the word "a sacrificial polymer" as referenced in claim 1. The Examiner disagrees. As established above, both Shick and Jacobine teaches present polynorborene of claim 4, and besides, both of the references are using the polynorbornene in the same way as being claimed in present claim 11. Therefore, although those references do not literally spell out the word "a sacrificial polymer", it is the Examiner's position that those polynorbornenes as being used in Shick and Jacobine *inherently* teach present sacrificial polymer.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,697

Art Unit: 1752

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sin J. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1333. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am EST to 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly, can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SIJ.

S. Lee March 6, 2006 SIN LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5